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A. Statement with regard to the Freedom of Information Act, 2014

The Medical Council currently makes information routinely available to the public in relation to its functions and activities and, in line with that practice, this report will be available on the Council’s website, www.medicalcouncil.ie in due course.

The Freedom of Information Act is designed to allow public access to information held by public bodies which is not routinely available through other sources and access to this document may be sought in accordance with that Act. The Medical Council complies fully with the terms of the Freedom of Information Act. It should be noted that access to information under the Freedom of Information Act is subject to certain exemptions and one or more of those exemptions may apply in relation to some or all of this report.
B. **The Decision of the Medical Council is that:**

1. **University College Cork’s Graduate Entry Medical Programme should be approved by Council under the terms of Section 88(2)(a)(ii) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.** This recommendation is made on the grounds of the Medical Council Team’s finding that the programme adheres to the rules, criteria, guidelines and standards approved by Council, as specified in Section 88(2)(a) and 88(2)(d) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.

   This approval should be for an initial period of five years from the date of approval by Council.

2. **University College Cork should be approved under Section 88(2)(a)(ii) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007 as the body which may deliver that programme.** This recommendation is made on the grounds of the University College Cork’s ongoing compliance with the rules, criteria, guidelines and standards approved by Council as specified in Section 88(2)(a)(ii) and 88(2)(e) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.

   This approval should be for an initial period of five years from the date of approval by Council.
C. PREFACE

1. Context of the visit

University College Cork (UCC) delivers a four-year Graduate Entry medical programme leading to the award of an MB BCh BAO. It is at undergraduate level (basic level in World Federation for Medical Education terminology).

The Medical Council Accreditation Team visited on 22nd & 23rd November 2017. Its remit was to assess the programme and to formulate a recommendation on accreditation to the Medical Council’s Education, Training and Professional Development Committee.

2. The Team

The Medical Council Assessor Team is listed on the title page of this Report. The Council very much appreciates the contribution of the Assessors to the accreditation process.

The Medical Council also thanks the UCC Team, led by Professor Stephen Cusack, Interim Dean / Head of the Medical School, for their co-operation and hospitality. The Team was particularly impressed by the high turnout of medical school staff and clinicians, and wishes to thank Dr Deirdre Bennett, Colette Spicer, Rachel Hyland and Rose-Mary Walsh for the work involved in coordinating the visit. In addition, the Medical Council wishes to thank the students who met the Team, whose feedback is most helpful in formulating this Report.

3. Documentation

Prior to the visit, the Team reviewed the Accreditation of Existing Medical Programmes – WFME Questionnaire, dated September 2017. This questionnaire is based on the World Federation of Medical Education’s Global Standards for Quality improvement; Basic Medical Education.

The Team also reviewed all documentation supplied by UCC both in advance and at the time of the visit.

4. Schedule

The accreditation visit included a UCC presentation by Dr Deirdre Bennett. An in-depth discussion ensued between the Medical Council Accreditation Team and representatives of the University. This was followed by private and confidential sessions with students representing all stages of the course. Under Section 88 (2)(f) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007, an inspection of the facilities was also undertaken at Cork University Hospital, South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital, the Bon Secours Hospital Cork and Mercy University Hospital. At each site inspection we also met with Management, Clinician Teachers and Students.
5. The Report

The Medical Council’s policy is to use the World Federation of Medical Education’s Standards to assess medical programmes. The section headings used in part C of this report are therefore those of the World Federation of Medical Education’s ‘Global Standards for Quality improvement in Medical Education, 2015 revision.'
D. SUMMARY AND GENERAL ASSESSMENT

1) Conclusion and main recommendations of Medical Council

The programme is well-designed and delivered to an impressive cohort of students who are generally very positive about their experiences. There are areas where review and revision is advised but none of the issues identified warrant conditions being placed on the approval. Areas where UCC is commended are also highlighted.

The Team’s main recommendations are that:

1. University College Cork’s Graduate Entry medical programme should be approved by Council under the terms of Section 88(2)(a)(i)(I) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007. This recommendation is made on the grounds of the Medical Council Team’s finding that the programme adheres to the rules, criteria, guidelines and standards approved by Council, as specified in Section 88(2)(a) and 88(2)(d) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.

   This approval should be for an initial period of five years from the date of approval by Council (see Recommended Further Action below).

2. University College Cork should be approved under Section 88(2)(a)(II) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007 as the body which may deliver that programme. This recommendation is made on the grounds of the University College Cork’s ongoing compliance with the rules, criteria, guidelines and standards approved by Council as specified in Section 88(2)(a)(i)(II) and 88(2)(e) of the Medical Practitioners Act 2007.

   This approval should be for an initial period of five years from the date of approval by Council (see Recommended Further Action below).

2) Findings of Council Team based on evidence provided by UCC and students interviewed

Based on the evidence provided by UCC and the information provided by the staff and students interviewed, the Team make 19 recommendations for UCC:

1. Educational Outcomes, there were deficits in the delivery of the mission statement (3 and 4) in the future role of the Health Sector, the health needs of the community, need of healthcare delivery system and other aspects of social accountability. The Team noted a deficit in the attention drawn to global health related intended outcomes.
2. The Team found no evidence of direct observation of consultation with patients in clinics or ward settings. This inhibits feedback on core skills acquired in years one and two. The team recommends that the opportunity to reinforce these skills is carried forward into the clinical settings.

3. The Team found that there was inconsistent delivery of clinical teaching on the sites visited, in particular a number of tutorials were cancelled and not rescheduled. It is recommended that UCC work with the clinical site partners on the delivery of the education programme ensuring that teaching is mapped to the curriculum and learning outcomes.

4. The Team found that there was a lack of meaningful opportunities for inter-professional education except that occur with Pharmacy students. It is recommended that UCC maximise the opportunities presented by sitting within the School of Health Sciences and continue this interaction into the Clinical Sites.

5. The Team recommends that UCC review the content and delivery of the Preparedness for Professional Practice module. The Team also recommends that UCC should define the content and delivery of the Intern-Shadowing component.

6. The Team noted the full breakdown of assessment outcomes for the MSPR for North-American Students, however, this detail was not available to other students. The Team recommends that UCC review feedback at all stages/assessment processes and feedback is made available to all students.

7. The Team recommends that UCC consider the introduction of a student portfolio. The student portfolio (e-portfolio) would lead to consistent record keeping and effective sharing/transfer of key progress markers.

8. The Team recommends that consideration be given to creating an overarching Academic Tutor system that oversees the progress of students in the programme.

9. The Team recommends that UCC introduces benchmarking for clinical teachers and assessors.

10. There is a need to manage actively the expectations of International Students in relation to obtaining Internships in Ireland and the Team recommends that this information be revised and implemented.

11. The Team found no evidence of review of intake under Standard 4.2 based on local health needs and recommends that UCC work with its partner organisations to review student intake.

12. The Team recommendations that UCC publishes a clear protocol for the recognition and support of a student in difficulty.
13. The Team recommends that there be a Medical School specific careers guidance service.

14. The Team found that the SIVUH and MUH were the only sites which gave students full swipe access for their clinical placements. The Team recommends that UCC ensures students have full swipe access for all their clinical placements.

15. The Team recommends that UCC works with its clinical partners in developing an academic staff development strategy.

16. The Team encountered a range of resources at the Clinical Training Sites and note the plans for development at each site, however, some of the current available resources are below acceptable standards. The Team recommends that UCC works with its clinical partners to mitigate these deficiencies notwithstanding the development plans for future resources.

17. The Team recommends that UCC work with all its clinical partners in delivering “read only” access to clinical records.

18. The Team recommends that the introduction of an academic tutor system as highlighted previously would facilitate the analysis and monitoring of student performance.

19. The Team recommends that Dean/Head of Medical School works with the Head of College of Medicine and Health and HSE to coordinate the utilisation of available resources to maximise the benefits to students.

3) Recommendations that the Medical Council makes to all medical schools:

1. UCC should continue to ensure awareness among students of the following:
   - The Medical Council’s *Eight Domains of Good Professional Practice*
   - The Medical Council’s *Three Pillars of Professionalism*
   - The Medical Council’s *Guidelines for Medical Schools on Ethical Standards and Behaviour appropriate for Medical Students*, particularly on affiliated sites and in general practices used for teaching
   - The revised *Children First: National Guidance for the Protection and Welfare of Children* guidelines in Ireland before students have attachments in the specialty area of paediatrics
   - The World Health Organisation *Patient Safety Guidelines*
2. UCC should continue to ensure that the teaching environment incorporates high standards in hygiene and infection control, including ensuring that students are trained in hand-washing techniques and have access to the necessary facilities.

4) **The Team commends UCC for:**

1. The Team commend UCC for the mission, ethos and professionalism being actively promoted and generally embraced by staff and students.

2. The breadth and exposure to patients throughout the various clinical sites.

3. Good mapping of the summative assessment through the curriculum.

4. The Team commends the Masters in Health Professions Education Programme which is in its early stages.

5. The Team commends UCC on the support mechanisms in place within the school for pastoral, health and academic needs.

6. The Team commends UCC on its state of the art resources at Brookfield Health Science Complex which is acknowledged as an exceptional work environment for both staff and students.
E. EVALUATION OF THE PROGRAMME, BASED ON WORLD FEDERATION FOR MEDICAL EDUCATION (WFME) GLOBAL STANDARDS FOR QUALITY IMPROVEMENT IN MEDICAL EDUCATION – 2015 REVISION

Compliance level rating
The levels of compliance are categorised as follows:

- Non-compliance (NC)
- Partial compliance (PC)
- Full compliance (FC)

No compliance with required criteria
Partial compliance with required criteria
Full compliance with required criteria

The Team has identified the following levels of compliance:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of compliance</th>
<th>WFME Global Revision 2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PC</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FC</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Area 1: Mission and Outcomes

MISSION AND OBJECTIVES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of compliance:</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:

UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: UCC College Undergraduate Calendar.

Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit:

It is evident in UCC and all Clinical Sites that mission, ethos and professionalism were actively promoted and generally embraced by staff and students. This was particularly evident in South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital, Bon Secours and Mercy University Hospital.

Compliance

The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard.

Observation(s) & Recommendation(s)

The Team makes the following recommendations:

- 1.3 Educational Outcomes, there were deficits in the delivery of the mission statement (3 and 4) in the future role of the Health Sector, the health needs of the community, need of healthcare delivery system and other aspects of social accountability. The Team noted a deficit in the attention drawn to global health related intended outcomes.

Commendation(s)

The Team commend UCC for:

- The mission, ethos and professionalism being actively promoted and generally embraced by staff and students.

Area 2: Educational programme

EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level of compliance:</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:

UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: Book of Modules, Curriculum Composition, Medicine Course Structure, Student Handbook, Clinical Practice Handbook, Emergency Medicine Attachment, Anaesthesia Attachment and Final Med Surgery Log Book.

Description of evidence of compliance with this standard during the inspection visit:

The Team is satisfied that the learning environment and teaching in UCC was conducive to a good student experience and in particular notes the following clinical sites, Cork University Maternity Hospital, South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital and the Bon Secours.

The breadth and exposure to patients throughout the various clinical sites was highlighted by students as a positive experience.

The Team found that there is a structured programme involving the use of role-players in developing medical consulting skills, however, during clinical placements in a Hospital setting the Team found no evidence of direct
observation of consultation with patients in clinics or ward settings. This inhibits feedback on core skills acquired in years one and two.

The Team would like to highlight the following areas for improvement; induction into clinical placements was variable between sites; there was a degree of uncertainty regarding the timing and relevance of aspects of the curriculum in the final year programme, including the Final Year Project and the Preparedness for Professional Practice Module.

The spiral nature of the curriculum was not particularly evident to a number of students.

The current module for Preparedness for Practice does not appear to meet the students’ and Interns’ requirements for transition into Internships. In particular, there is a lack of a formal module of student assistantship where students are given increasing responsibility of patient care.

The Team found that there was inconsistent delivery of clinical teaching on the sites visited, in particular a number of tutorials were cancelled and not rescheduled.

The Team found that there was a lack of meaningful opportunities for inter-professional education except that occur with Pharmacy students.

The timing of the modules in the first year of the programme was less than optimal for example, the first module of the year was quite intense when students were still adapting to their learning environment. There also seemed to be a degree of disconnect between the module content and other learning/teaching activities.

Compliance
The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

Observation(s) & Recommendation(s)
The Team makes the following recommendations:

- The Team found no evidence of direct observation of consultation with patients in clinics or ward settings. This inhibits feedback on core skills acquired in years one and two. The team recommends that the opportunity to reinforce these skills is carried forward into the clinical settings.
- It is recommended that UCC work with the clinical site partners on the delivery of the education programme ensuring that teaching is mapped to the curriculum and learning outcomes.
- It is recommended that UCC maximise the opportunities presented by sitting within the School of Health Sciences and continue this interaction into the Clinical Sites.
- The Team recommends that UCC review the content and delivery of the Preparedness for Professional Practice Module.
- The Team also recommends that UCC should define the content and delivery of the Intern-Shadowing component.

Commendation(s)
The Team commend UCC on:

- The breadth and exposure to patients throughout the various clinical sites

Area 3: Assessment of students

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSESSMENT OF STUDENTS</th>
<th>Level of compliance:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:
UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: Marks and Standards, Assessment Summary, SREO Examination Regulations, Plagiarism Policy and Examination Appeals Process and Policy.

**Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit:**

The Team noted that there is good mapping of the summative assessment through the curriculum.

The Team found student concerns about the assessment load in terms of the number and timing of assessments.

The Team found that there was inconsistency in the frequency and quality of feedback for summative and formative assessment both in the School and across the Clinical Sites. The Team noted the full breakdown of assessment outcomes for the MSPR for North-American Students, however, this detail was not available to other students. The Team recommends that UCC review feedback at all stages/assessment processes and feedback is made available to all students.

The absence of a student portfolio of learning and assessment inhibits the ability of both students and the school to monitor progress.

The training of clinical teachers in assessment across the clinical sites appear to be variable.

**Compliance**
The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

**Observation(s) & Recommendation(s)**
The Team makes the following recommendations:

- The Team recommend that UCC should review the balance of formative and summative assessments at all stages of the programme.
- The Team noted the full breakdown of assessment outcomes for the MSPR for North-American Students, however, this detail was not available to other students. The Team recommends that UCC review feedback at all stages/assessment processes and feedback is made available to all students.
- The Team recommends that UCC consider the introduction of a student portfolio. The student portfolio (e-portfolio) would lead to consistent record keeping and effective sharing/transfer of key progress markers.
- The Team recommends that UCC introduces benchmarking for clinical teachers and assessors.

**Commendation(s)**
The Team commend UCC for:

- Good mapping of the summative assessment through the curriculum.

---

**Area 4: Students**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STUDENTS</th>
<th>Level of compliance:</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:**

UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: Guidance for Class Reps.

**Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit:**
The Team was impressed by the large numbers of students and Interns (at Clinical Sites) that attended our meetings. Their motivation, enthusiasm and engagement were evident.

Overall, the maturity of the Graduate Entry students was evident from their considered and thoughtful responses.

The students were aware of the Committee support structure for example, the Medical Student Board, Curriculum Committee and Programme Oversight Committee and their representation. The Students also highlighted the support mechanisms in place within the school for pastoral, health and academic needs.

Although the Management advised that the University Calendar clearly outlines the restricted opportunities for Internship in Ireland, the Team heard very mixed views from International students. There is a need to manage actively the expectations of International Students in relation to obtaining Internships in Ireland.

The Team found that the capacity was an issue with the increased intake to 90. The Team heard of small teaching spaces and tutorial rooms where over-crowding was happening.

The Team found no evidence of review of intake under standard 4.2 based on local health needs.

The Team noted that there is a lack of an overarching Academic Tutor system that oversees the progress of students in the programme.

The well-intentioned mentorship system as outlined by UCC and described by students appeared to be fragmented and inconsistent in delivery. The Team recognises the role of the year and site coordinators in providing support for students.

The Team found that there is a lack of a structured remediation process for struggling students that is triggered by UCC. The Team also met International students who described a deficit in pastoral support at times of personal difficulty.

The Team found that there is a lack of careers strategy and structured guidance for students in both programmes, in particular there is a lack of information regarding national health care needs and career opportunities.

The Team found that the SIVUH and MUH were the only site who gave students full swipe access for their clinical placements. The Team recommends that UCC ensures students have full access on all clinical sites.

Students from Year One

The Team met with 34 students from Year One of the Graduate Entry Programme. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role. The students all confirmed that they had volunteered to meet with the Medical Council Team.

The students were having a positive experience to date. They felt that the Early Patient Contact module was a great experience and enjoyed taking case histories and seeing patients and felt the family attachment gave them good exposure to patient interaction and helped them build relations with the patients.
The students felt that Blackboard worked well, however, they felt that hard copies of some of the material would be beneficial, for example, a handbook with schedule of assessments.

The students were complimentary of the Class Reps and the Peer Support Group and felt they were available to them should they need to speak to someone.

Ethics and professionalism are evidently being taught.

There was an issue with over-subscribing at the beginning of the year, where some students found themselves sitting on the floor for lectures and not enough computers in the labs, however, through feedback from the Class Reps at the Medical Student Board, this has since been rectified.

**Students from Year Two**

The Team met with 25 students from Year Two of the Graduate Entry Programme. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role. The students all confirmed that they had volunteered to meet with the Medical Council Team.

The students felt that while on hospital placements, they were not being observed taking case histories and were only given feedback on presenting the history, they do however, get exposure to coaching in role play where two clinicians observe the students taking history in a small group teaching setting.

The students complimented the Students Reps who are very receptive to suggestions and also feel the Peer Support Group works well.

When asked about the pastoral supports available to students, all students were aware of the supports available to them, however, it was felt that as the resources are for the whole College the demand was high and it could be quite difficult to access.

The students felt that Blackboard worked well, however, lectures were being rescheduled quite frequently and lectures were not being posted on time.

**Students from Year Three**

The Team met with 15 students from Year Three of the Graduate Entry Programme. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role. The students all confirmed that they had volunteered to meet with the Medical Council Team.

The students spent 8 months of the year on clinical placements and noted that the year is very focused on the clinical disciplines.

Depending on the placements, students spent 50% of their time doing self-directed learning and 50% of the time was bedside teaching with feedback sessions at the end of each patient visit.

The students advised of the exam structure, which they were aware of at the beginning of the year, however, they noted that there were inconsistencies around the feedback given on exams, for example, there was minimal feedback given with the results of the MCQ’s and there was a two-week window to receive OSCE feedback.
The students were aware of the pastoral supports available to them and the Class Reps had regular meetings with the GEM’s Oversight Committee where feedback from the class is considered.

The students who had placements in Cork University Hospital and Mercy University Hospital were complimentary of their experience, however, the students who were on placements in Waterford and Tralee found it problematic and isolated. There were no video-conferencing facilities for lectures.

**Students from Year Four**

The Team met with students from Year Four of the Graduate Entry Programme. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role. The students all confirmed that they had volunteered to meet with the Medical Council Team.

The students are having a positive experience to date, however it was felt that that the core objectives of the programme were not clear to them.

The students made reference to the book of modules being a good resource throughout their programme and is available to them on Blackboard, however, they felt that the final exams expectations were not clearly laid out.

The students were complimentary of the exposure in the peripheral hospitals, however, they felt that the teaching was not structured. In the larger hospitals, the students felt that the large number of students to Consultant ratio meant that the students were often left to do self-directed learning.

The students were also complimentary of the Preparedness for Practice Module and the opportunities available for coaching in communication skills.

The students felt that the Final Year Project took up too much of their time in the run up to final exams. The marks given for the project were not worth the time given to delivering it. They also felt it was difficult to get access to records when on clinical placements to be able to research for the project. It was suggested that UCC reconsider the timing of the final year project and perhaps revert to delivering it between years three and four.

When asked about feedback, the students advised that there were inconsistencies in the feedback they received and had to ask for feedback after exams. North American students were receiving a full breakdown of exam results for each year as part of their MSPR application, however, this facility was not available to other students.

**Students from various years who were on Clinical Placements at the time of visit**

The Team met with 30 students from various years of the Graduate Entry Programme, who were on clinical placements in Cork University Hospital, South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital, Mercy University Hospital, Bon Secours, St Stephens Hospital, Bantry General Hospital, St Mary’s Orthopaedic Hospital, University Hospital Waterford, South Tipperary General Hospital and St Finbarr’s Hospital. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role. The students all confirmed that they had volunteered to meet with the Medical Council Team.

When asked about the induction on each of the site, there were mixed views. Some students confirmed that they had a good induction, other sites had no induction. The students also felt that the exposure varied depending on the clinical sites. But felt that the matching process was well balanced.
The students advised the Team of the variances in teaching at the clinical sites and felt that some of the tutors were more engaged than others.

The students currently on placements in Cork University Hospital advised the Team of a number of lectures being cancelled due to work commitments. The most affected being the small group teaching, where approximately 50% of these tutorials are being cancelled.

The final year students on placement in Cork University Hospital feel much more involved in patient care and the Team, however, no swipe access or access to patient records limits the student’s ability to learn.

The students currently on placements in South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital were having a very positive experience to date. The in-depth induction given to students by Dr Noelle was praised and the students felt prepared beginning their placements.

The students felt the tutorials are working well on this clinical placement and regular feedback was being given, however, when asked if they were observed taking case histories the students advised that they were not and were only observed when presenting it.

The students currently on placement in Mercy University Hospital reiterated the issues that were occurring in Cork University Hospital whereby up to 50% of tutorials were being cancelled and not rescheduled.

Despite availability clearly described by the hospital management, students currently on placement in Mercy University Hospital felt that there was no pastoral support available to them and if they were having any problems they would go back to the student support network in UCC.

The students on placement in the Bon Secours felt the tutorials were well orchestrated. They commended the hospital on their attention to detail with regards to student attendance. The students also illustrated that they found the ad-hoc interaction with Interns to be very beneficial, however, there was no specified time for this interaction. The staff were commended for encouraging students to ask questions during clinical activities.

Similarly to Cork University Hospital, the students currently on placement in the Bon Secours illustrated that third year students on a 5 week placements did have swipe card access whereas, students on a 2 week placement did not. In turn, the students felt this inhibited their learning ability in the Clinical environment.

Across all sites, the students advised the Team that there is little or no multi-disciplinary teaching taking place with other professions.

**Meeting with Interns who are UCC graduates**

The Team met with a number of Interns currently on placements throughout the teaching hospitals who are graduates of UCC. They were assured of confidentiality and advised of the Medical Council’s role.

The key objective of meeting with these Interns was to get a clear understanding of their preparedness for practice. The Interns we met in SIVUH felt that the intern-shadowing component in final med was not timed correctly and was too close to final exams, which meant that students were not giving their full attention to the shadowing.

Although the Preparedness for Practice module was helpful, it did not prepare them for their day to day responsibilities as interns.
The Interns in the Bon Secours felt there was not enough preparation ahead of practice. They felt that there was a significant contrast between the learning environment and Clinical placement.

**Compliance**
The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

**Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)**
The Team makes the following recommendations:

- There is a need to manage actively the expectations of International Students in relation to obtaining Internships in Ireland and the Team recommends that this information be revised and implemented.
- The Team found no evidence of review of intake under Standard 4.2 based on local health needs and recommends that UCC work with its partner organisations to review student intake.
- The Team recommends that consideration be given to creating an overarching Academic Tutor system that oversees the progress of students in the programme.
- The Team recommends that UCC publishes a clear protocol for the recognition and support of a student in difficulty.
- The Team recommends that there be a Medical School specific careers guidance service.
- The Team found that the SIVUH and MUH were the only sites which gave students full swipe access for their clinical placements. The Team recommends that UCC ensures students have full swipe access for all their clinical placements.

**Commendation(s)**

- The Team commends UCC on the support mechanisms in place within the school for pastoral, health and academic needs.

---

**Area 5: Academic staff/faculty**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ACADEMIC STAFF/FACULTY</th>
<th>Level of compliance</th>
<th>PC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:*

UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: Staff Promotion to Senior Lecturer.

*Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit:*

The Team noted the motivation and enthusiasm of all teaching staff in the medical school and across clinical sites.

School appointed Clinical Professors and Clinical Senior Lecturers received bespoke training in medical education.

The School offers through its medical education unit, courses across the clinical sites. However, the school does not have a record of clinical site staff who take up these courses.

**Compliance**
The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

**Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)**
The Team makes the following recommendations:

- The Team recommends that UCC works with its clinical partners in developing an academic staff development strategy.
Area 6: Educational Resources

EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES | Level of Compliance | PC
---|---|---

Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:

UCC provided the Team with the following documentary evidence of compliance with this standard: Physical Facilities and Student IT Handbook.

Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit

**Physical Facilities:**

The Team encountered a range of resources at the Clinical Training Sites and note the plans for development at each site, however, some of the current available resources are below acceptable standards.

**Information Technology:**

The Team noted that overall good IT resources were available to academic staff and students.

The Team found that students did not have access to electronic clinical records. This impedes their ability to learn from patient interactions.

The Team note that the Students found that Blackboard is a useful and easily accessed resource, however, the Team note that clinical site teaching staff do not have access to Blackboard, access to this resource would enhance the delivery and planning of teaching.

**Medical Research and Scholarship:**

The Team felt that Research and Scholarship were prompted in the school and across the clinical sites. Students were given ample opportunities to participate in research projects and examples of these projects were shared with the Team.

The Team met with very enthusiastic and supportive library staff at UCC and the Clinical Sites and the Team noted that the library facilities in UCC were excellent and satisfactory on the clinical sites.

**Educational Exchange:**

There are educational exchange programmes available to the students and the majority felt supported through the process.

Compliance

The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)

The Team makes the following recommendations:

- The Team recommends that UCC works with its clinical partners to mitigate these deficiencies notwithstanding the development plans for future resources.
The Team recommend that UCC works with its clinical partners in delivering “read only” access to clinical records.

Commendation(s)
The Team commends UCC on its state of the art resources at Brookfield Health Science Complex which is acknowledged as an exceptional work environment for both staff and students.

Area 7: Programme evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROGRAMME EVALUATION</th>
<th>Level of compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCC provided the Team with no documentary evidence of compliance with this standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Team noted that there was a systematic approach to collecting feedback from the student body via student representatives and validated questionnaires. The Team noted the responsiveness of the School to evidence from the feedback.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance
The Team found that UCC is partially complying with this standard

Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)
The Team makes the following recommendations:
- The introduction of an academic tutor system as highlighted previously would facilitate the analysis and monitoring of student performance.

Commendation(s)
No commendations made.

Area 8: Governance and Administration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOVERNANCE AND ADMINISTRATION</th>
<th>Level of compliance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCC provided the Team with no documentary evidence of compliance with this standard</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Team found that overall Governance and Administration is established and effective.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>However, the Team did find that there was variability in the delivery of tutorials and the rate of cancellation as well as the monitoring of the student attendance and recommends that a process of standardised record keeping and reporting be established.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The team acknowledges the affective management and administration of the programmes at the Medical School.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Compliance
The Team found that UCC is fully complying with this standard

Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)
The Team makes the following recommendations:
The Team recommend that Dean/Head of Medical School works with the Head of College of Medicine and Health and HSE to coordinate the utilisation of available resources to maximise the benefits to students

Commendation(s)
No commendations made.

**Area 9: Continuous Renewal**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONTINUOUS RENEWAL</th>
<th>Level of compliance</th>
<th>FC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Description of documentary evidence of compliance with this standard provided by UCC:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UCC provided the Team with no documentary evidence of compliance with this standard</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description of evidence of compliance with this standard found during the inspection visit</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Team believe that the medical school at UCC is committed to a process of continuous improvement and renewal. The Team has seen evidence of review of the programme, introducing change and further review.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compliance
The Team found that UCC is fully complying with this standard

Observation(s) and Recommendation(s)
The Team makes no recommendations:

Commendation(s)
No commendations made
F. INSPECTION OF CLINICAL TRAINING SITES

Cork University Hospital and Cork University Maternity Hospital

The Team met with the Clinical Staff and Management of Cork University Hospital (CUH) and Cork University Maternity Hospital (CUMH) and appreciate the time staff took to meet with them. They also appreciate the presentation made by Professor Paula O’Leary which gave them insight into the full scope of practice at the hospital, and the hospitals’ involvement with education and training.

The Team were advised that CUH is the largest teaching hospital in Ireland with 500 Doctors employed and 150 students on clinical placements at any one time.

The Team were informed of the future plans to develop educational facilities for UCC students in CUH, however, at present the facilities available to the students are below acceptable standard.

Whilst inspecting the facilities at CUMH, the Team were impressed by the educational facilities available to the students.

The Clinicians commended UCC on the training programmes available to them for developing their teaching skills and examining skills.

The Clinicians also feed back into the curriculum through the Curriculum Committee and benchmark assessments through the Assessment Working Group in UCC.

The Clinicians currently in CUH and CUMH evidently have a keen interest in teaching and take on students in the summer research programmes as well as taking part in careers open days for CUH. They felt that students get good exposure during their rotations there.

The Team noted that swipe access for students in CUH and CUMH is restricted.
**South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital**

The Team met with the Clinical Staff and Management of South Infirmary Victoria University Hospital (SIVUH) and appreciate the time staff took to meet with them. They also appreciate the presentation made by Professor Brad Noel which gave them insight into the full scope of practice at the hospital, and the hospitals’ involvement with education and training.

The Team were impressed by the enthusiasm and dedication of the staff at SIVUH to teaching. Professor Noelle is a member of the Medical Education Unit in UCC and spends 50% of his time in UCC and feeds back any issues to help streamline teaching at SIVUH.

Students on placement in SIVUH spend two weeks there, the induction programme is well developed and delivered at the beginning of each rotation. Full swipe access is given to students and good inter-professional learning opportunities with nursing staff and students.

The MCPI (Manchester Clinical Placement Index) survey is used at the end of each module and the results have now been fed back to SIVUH for each year of teaching for comparison and standardisation of teaching.

The Clinicians felt that students get good exposure to one on one teaching in SIVUH and tutorials are well organised and structured.

The Team inspected the facilities available to the students on site and felt that there was adequate study space and library facilities with good video-link facilities. The team felt that it would be beneficial to increase the size of the locker facilities and to consider a space that can be utilized for down time e.g. a breakout room.
Bon Secours Hospital Cork

The Team met with the Clinical Staff and Management of the Bon Secours Hospital and appreciate the time staff took to meet with them. They also appreciate the presentation made by Doctor Brian Bird which gave them insight into the full scope of practice at the hospital, and the hospitals’ involvement with education and training.

The Team was pleased with the staff development strategy in place. They felt that the weekly meetings with various specialties were very beneficial.

The students were complimentary of the detailed case discussion which take place and commended the Oncology Unit on being a positive learning environment. Interns found the medical assessment very beneficial as they were supported by the Consultant.

The Team was impressed by the contingency plan in place in the case of a cancelled tutorial.

The Team felt that it would be beneficial for all students on placement to have swipe card access in order to enhance and streamline their experience.

Students on placement in the Bon Secours felt that staff were very approachable which stimulated their ability to question procedures to gain clarity and understanding.

The team inspected the sites facilities available to students on site and felt that there were good library facilities with a large catalogue. However, it was noted that not all students were aware of this on site facility.

The Team was satisfied with the classroom and tutorial facilities.

The Team recommends that a more adequate space be allocated for personal belongings.
Mercy University Hospital

The Team met with the Clinical Staff and Management of Mercy University Hospital (MUH) and appreciate the time staff took to meet with them. They also appreciate the presentation made by Dr Aislinn Joy which gave them insight into the full scope of practice at the hospital, and the hospitals’ involvement with education and training.

MUH clearly outlines its core values in the learning environment for students and have a well-established welcome pack available to students prior to their arrival.

The facilities at MUH are limited for students as they are currently sharing the Nurses education facilities.

The Clinicians in MUH are enthusiastic in teaching, however, it was noted that some scheduled tutorials were not taking place due to the high patient demand on clinicians and were not being rescheduled.

The overall governance is an ongoing challenge for MUH, however, the recent appointment of the Chief Academic Officer will help with standardising and maximising supports.

The Clinicians in MUH were aware of the training opportunities available from UCC, however, the clinical challenges they face restricts their ability to attend these courses.

The Clinicians also identified the importance of inter-disciplinary teaching between the nurses, pharmacy and medical staff and students that is currently taking place.

End of report
APPENDIX 1

The UCC staff who took part in the process is set out in a list provided by UCC.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendance at opening meeting</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Helen Whelton</td>
<td>Head of College - College of Medicine and Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephen Cusack</td>
<td>Interim Dean/Head School of Medicine, Professor of Emergency Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deirdre Bennett</td>
<td>Director Five Year Programme and Head of Medical Education Unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paula O’Leary</td>
<td>Professor - Medical Clinical Education/Final Year Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mary Cahill</td>
<td>Chairperson - Undergraduate Curriculum Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose Walsh</td>
<td>Manager, School of Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Cryan</td>
<td>Head of Department - Anatomy and Neuroscience/Director Stream 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Henry Smithson</td>
<td>Head of Department - General Practice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fergus Shanahan</td>
<td>Head of Department - Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John Higgins</td>
<td>Head of Department - Obstetrics and Gynaecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jonathan Hourihane</td>
<td>Head of Department - Paediatrics and Child Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Prentice</td>
<td>Head of Department - Pathology/Medical Microbiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thomas Walther</td>
<td>Head of Department - Pharmacology and Therapeutics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ken O’Halloran</td>
<td>Head of Department - Physiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ted Dinan</td>
<td>Head of Department - Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Redmond</td>
<td>Head of Department - Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mairead O’Riordan</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Obstetrics and Gynaecology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fiona Quinn</td>
<td>Lecturer - Third Year Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pat Henn</td>
<td>Lecturer - Second Year Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kathy Quane</td>
<td>Lecturer - First Year Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helen Hynes</td>
<td>Lecturer - GEM2 Co-ordinator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paul Gallagher</td>
<td>Senior Clinical Lecturer - Director Stream 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colm O Tuathaigh</td>
<td>Lecturer - Director Stream 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eileen Duggan</td>
<td>Lecturer - Student Welfare Officer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deirdre Murray</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Paediatrics and Child Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Gibson</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Paediatrics and Child Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Louise Burke</td>
<td>Professor - Pathology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aishling Campbell</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Psychiatry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juliette Buckley</td>
<td>Lecturer - Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>George Shorten</td>
<td>Professor - Anesthetics and Intensive Care Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emmett Andrews</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabriella Iohm</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Anesthetics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denis O’Mahony</td>
<td>Professor - Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael Maher</td>
<td>Professor - Radiology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OJ O’Connor</td>
<td>Senior Lecturer - Radiology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Attendance of Clinical/Honorary Staff

Attendance of Clinical/Honorary staff (CUH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Prof William Plant</td>
<td>(Clinical Professor of Renal Medicine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Denis O’Mahony</td>
<td>Professor in Medicine and Consultant Geriatrician</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Desmond Murphy</td>
<td>[Medical Consultant, CUH]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Chris Cotter</td>
<td>ENT Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Anna Durand O’Connor</td>
<td>CUMH NCHD SPR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Aisling Ryan</td>
<td>Senior Clinical Lecturer and Consultant Neurologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Michael Pead</td>
<td>[Consultant Anaesthetist, CUH,]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Seila O’Callaghan</td>
<td>(CUH Anaesthetics)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Gabreilla Rizzo</td>
<td>Lecturer/Clinical Tutor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Patricia Fitzgerald</td>
<td>Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Niamh Coakley</td>
<td>Clinical Tutor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Aoife Ni Chorcorain</td>
<td>[Consultant Psychiatrist]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Tony McNamara</td>
<td>Chief Executive Officer, CUH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Jason van der Velde</td>
<td>Consultant in Emergency Medicine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Paula O’Leary</td>
<td>Professor in Medicine and Consultant Physician and Immunologist</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Attendance of Clinical/Honorary staff (SIVUH)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position/Title</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr Brad Noel</td>
<td>UCC Clinical Lecturer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Michelle Murphy</td>
<td>Senior Clinical Lecturer in Medical Education/UCC, National Specialist Director in Dermatology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prof Patrick Sheehan</td>
<td>ENT Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Colm Taylor</td>
<td>Orthopaedic Surgeon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Margaret Lynch</td>
<td>Risk Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Mark James</td>
<td>Lecturer in Ophthalmology/Module Co-ordinator CP4007/UCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Stephen Mannion</td>
<td>Consultant Anaesthetist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Eamon O’Connell</td>
<td>Consultant Ophthalmologist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Helen Donovan</td>
<td>CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms Anita Regan</td>
<td>Human Resources Manager</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stephanie White</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Chris Cotter</td>
<td>ENT Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr John Bourke</td>
<td>Consultant Dermatologist/Chairman Medical Staff / Intern Tutor SIVUH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr Anthony Hennessy</td>
<td>Consultant Anaesthetist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr Damian Murphy</td>
<td>Consultant in Pain Medicine</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 2

Agenda:

Medical Council Accreditation Visit to University College Cork

Wednesday 22nd & Thursday 23rd November

VISITING TEAM

Ms Anne Carrigy (Chair of the Visiting Team and Medical Council Member)
Ms Katharine Bulbulia (Medical Council Member)
Dr Consilia Walsh (Medical Council Member)
Prof Hisham Khalil (Assessor)
Prof Barry Lewis (Assessor)
Ms Úna O’Rourke (Director of Education, Training and Professionalism)
Ms Aoise O’Reilly (Accreditation Manager, Education, Training & Professionalism)
Ms Poppy Nolan (Accreditation Executive, Education, Training & Professionalism)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event:</th>
<th>Details:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.30</td>
<td><strong>Ground Transportation:</strong></td>
<td>Collection to be arranged by UCC from Kingsley Hotel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.00</td>
<td><strong>Private meeting</strong></td>
<td>of Assessor Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.30</td>
<td><strong>Welcome &amp; Introductory Meeting</strong></td>
<td>Visiting Team to meet with UCC faculty for Plenary Session including a formal presentation to the Assessor Team by Head of School of approximately 20 minutes followed by a Question and Answer Session</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Programme</td>
<td>Details</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>Direct Entry Programme</td>
<td>Meeting with Students from 1st Year DEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assert LG1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from Year 2 DEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assert LG1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.30</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from Year 3 DEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assert LG1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.15</td>
<td><strong>Lunch meeting</strong></td>
<td>for Medical Council Team in Private</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BHSC_225</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from Year 4 DEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assert LG1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from Year 4 GEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BHSC_102</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.00</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from Year 5 DEM (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Assert LG1.01</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with students from clinical sites (45 mins)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>BHSC_102</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.00</td>
<td><strong>Ground Transportation:</strong> Collection to be arranged by UCC to Cork University Hospital</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.30</td>
<td><strong>Private meeting</strong></td>
<td>of the Assessor Team</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Consultants Common Room, CUH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.00</td>
<td><strong>Meeting</strong></td>
<td>of Assessor Team with hospital management, clinicians and staff involved in education and training at CUH and CUMH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CUH Lecture theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16.45</td>
<td></td>
<td>Meeting with Students</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>CUH Lecture Theatre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>73 Students invited to meet with the Team</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
17.45  **Facilities walk-around**  
CUH: Prof. Paula O'Leary 087-6395613  
CUMH: Dr. Mairead O’Riordan 087-2329572  
One Team to inspect CUH facilities, other to inspect CUMH facilities

18.30  **Departure of the Team**  
**Ground Transportation:**  
Collection to be arranged by UCC from CUH main entrance to The Kingsley Hotel

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Event Description</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 08.00 | **Ground Transportation:**  
Collection to be arranged by UCC from campus | 9 Assessors to go to SIVUH                                           |
| 08.30 | **Private meeting** of Medical Council Team                   |                                                                      |
|       | **SIVUH: Conference Centre**  
(Conference Centre is across from Main Reception  
SIVUH on right hand side past Educational Centre & Oncology. It is the room to the right of the library) |                                                                      |
| 09.00 | **Meeting** of Assessor Team with hospital management, clinicians and staff involved in education and training at both sites | 26 Students to meet with the Team                                    |
|       | **SIVUH: Conference Centre**                                  |                                                                      |
| 09.45 | Meeting with Students and interns                             |                                                                      |
|       | **SIVUH: Conference Centre**                                  |                                                                      |
| 10.30 | **Facilities walk-around**                                    |                                                                      |
|       | Dr. Michelle Murphy 0879273327                                |                                                                      |
| 11.15 | **Ground Transportation:**  
Collection to be arranged by UCC |  
Team split -  
4 Assessors to go to Bon Secours Cork  
4 Assessors to go to Mercy University Hospital |
| 11.30 | **Private meeting** of Medical Council Team                   |                                                                      |
|       | **Bon Secours:** Restaurant Meeting Room                       |                                                                      |
|       | **MUH:** Ground Floor Parlour                                  |                                                                      |

Thursday 23rd November
| 12.00 | **Meeting** of Assessor Team with hospital management, clinicians and staff involved in education and training  
**Bon Secours:** Classroom 1  
**MUH:** First Floor Drawing Room | Please see attached lists of invited Bon Secours and MUH staff |
| 13.00 | **Private Lunch**  
**Bon Secours:** Restaurant Meeting Room  
**MUH:** Ground floor parlour |
| 13.45 | Meeting with Students and interns  
**Bon Secours:** Classroom 1  
**MUH:** First Floor drawing room | 36 Students to meet with the Team  
37 Students to meet with the Team |
| 14.30 | Facilities walk-around  
**Bon Secours:** Ms. Joanne McCarthy  
**MUH:** Dr. Nora McCarthy 0866088387 |
| 15.00 | **Ground Transportation:**  
Collection to be arranged by UCC from BONS and MUH to BHSC Campus |
| 15.30 | **BHSC Campus facilities – Facilities walk-around**  
Dr. Rob Gaffney  
Dr. John MacSharry |
| 16.30 | **Private meeting** of the Assessor Team  
**BHSC_247** |
| 17.00 | **Exit meeting** –  
All available faculty members, Management, Dean, Programme Coordinators etc. to attend.  
**BHSC_101** | Please see attached list of invited UCC faculty |
| 17.30 | **Departure of Team Ground Transportation:**  
Collection to be arranged by UCC to The Kingsley Hotel |